

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 United States of ) No. CR 99-1274(A)-RSWL  
12 America, )  
13 ) ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
14 Plaintiff, ) DENYING IN PART  
15 v. ) PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR  
16 Eduardo Panameno, ) REDUCTION OF SENTENCE  
17 ) PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §  
18 Defendant. ) 3582(c)  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )

---

22 On April 14, 2008, Petitioner filed a Motion for  
23 Reduction of Sentence Based on 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). The  
24 Government filed its Response on May 9, 2008. The Court  
25 hereby takes the matter under submission **AND RULES AS**  
26 **FOLLOWS:**  
27  
28

1 When combined, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and U.S.S.G.  
2 1B1.10(c) grants this Court authority to reduce  
3 Petitioner's sentence. The Sentencing Commission  
4 generally reduced, by two levels, the offense levels  
5 applicable to crack cocaine offenses.

6 On March 6 2002, Petitioner pled guilty to Counts  
7 2, 3 and 17 of the First Superceding Indictment filed in  
8 this case. Petitioner was sentenced to 210 months,  
9 which reflected the low end of the then existing  
10 Sentencing Guidelines.

11 In ruling on the instant matter, the Court  
12 considered the original sentencing recommendation, the  
13 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, as well as the Government's  
14 support of a reduction in Petitioner's sentence to 151  
15 months.

16 Based on the above considerations, the Court  
17 determines that it is appropriate to reduce Petitioner's  
18 sentence to 151 months.<sup>1</sup>

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20  
21 / s /  
22 HON. RONALD S.W. LEW  
23 Senior U.S. District Court Judge

24 DATE: June 23, 2008

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> The Court declines to further reduce Petitioner's  
27 sentence as requested because Petitioner fails to adequately  
28 justify the additional reduction and his calculations of his  
criminal history and offense level are inaccurate.